RESOLUTION RECOMMENDING THE ADOPTION OF THE PROPOSED ZONING

ORDINANCE TOGETHER WITH CERTAIN PROPOSED AMENDMENTS.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

WHEREAS, a proposed zoning ordinance has been prepared by the Planning Commission of the City of Placerville; and

WHEREAS, public hearings have been held after notice was given as required by law; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission after the public hearings gave full consideration to all of the matters presented at the hearings and have prepared a Summary of Hearings and a Report of Findings; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has concluded that the proposed zoning ordinance as modified by certain proposed amendments will be for the best interest of the City of Placerville.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. The Planning Commission does hereby recommend to the City Council of the City of Placerville that they adopt the proposed zoning ordinance with certain proposed amendments which were determined to be for the best interest of the City of Placerville by the Planning Commission after having given fully consideration to the proposed zoning ordinance and the proposed amendments after public hearings were held.
- 2. A copy of the proposed zoning ordinance is attached hereto, marked Exhibit "A".
- 3. A copy of the proposed amendments to the proposed zoning ordinance is attached hereto, marked Exhibit "B".

The above resolution was adopted by the Planning Commission on the 4th day of November, 1963 by the following vote:

AYES: Gripper Henningsen, Sanderson, Thomas, Vanderbundt,

NOES: None ABSENT: None

Chairman

EMMETT J. FOLEY ATTORNEY AT LAW 263 MAIN STREET P. D. BOX 936 PLACERVILLE, CALIF.

l

4 5

EMMETT J. FOLEY ATTORNEY AT LAW 263 MAIN STREET ;P. O. BOX 936 PLADERVILLE, CALIF.

SUMMARY OF HEARINGS BEFORE PLANNING COMMISSION ON PROPOSED ZONING ORDINANCE

The Planning Commission of the City of Placerville held a public hearing on October 8, 1963 at the hour of 7:30 P.M. in the Council Chambers at the City Hall, Placerville, California, for the purpose of having a public hearing prior to making any recommendations to the City Council of the City of Placerville with respect to the adoption of a proposed zoning ordinance. The Planning Commissioners present were Palmer, Sanderson, Gripper, Wentworth and Henningsen.

After the meeting was called to order those in the audience desiring to be heard were then heard by the Planning Commission.

The first person was Tom McFarland, who questioned the desirability of a minimum dwelling unit of 400 sq. feet in the RM zone and who requested clarification with respect to the proposed minimum dwelling unit area of 600 sq. feet with two rooms plus 200 sq. feet of total floor area for each additional bedroom in the Rl zone. This was clarified by the Planning Commission to the apparent satisfaction of Mr. McFarland.

The next person heard was Mr. Dante Baccacini, who requested that Lot 15, Block 6 on the South side of Broadway, being property he owned, be changed from RE to the C zone as the existing use is commercial.

Mr. Ted Fisher then appeared to make a request that lower Main Street property be changed from CG to C zone to eliminate bulk storage.

Mr. Vern Cappell then made a request on behalf of Mr. Art Davies that there be a zone change for land owned by Mr. Davies on lower Main Street from RE to RM as the land had already been excavated for multi-family units.

Mr. Strathouse of P.G.&E. then made a request for amendments relative to public utilities in Sections 10-3-5(A); 10-4-4(A); 10-4-8(D); and 10-4-9(B), a copy of his request being attached hereto and by reference made a part hereof.

The hearing was then closed and adjourned until October 15, 1963 at 7:30 P.M.

At the hearing of October 15, 1963 the Planning Commissioners present were Sanderson, Wentworth, Thomas, Gripper, and Henningsen.

At this hearing Mr. Dietz of Western Oil & Gas requested that a change be made in the definition of automotive service stations on page 3 of the proposed zoning ordinance to include repairs, tuning and wash. He further requested that service stations be allowed in RT and C zones as a use allowed by right rather than by use permit, and Mr. Dietz made a further request that the size of signs be increased to 150 or 175 square feet.

Also appearing at the October 15th hearing was Mr. D. W. Green of Standard Oil, whose request was that the sign limitation with respect to size be amended so as to allow signs of 150 or 175 square feet.

The hearing was then adjourned until October 29, 1963 at which time the Commissioners present were Palmer, Henningsen, Gripper, Wentworth and Vanderbundt.

At this meeting P.G.&E. presented proposed revised amendments relative to public utilities in Sections 10-3-5(A); 10-4-4(A); 10-4-8(D); and 10-4-9(B), a copy of which is attached hereto and by reference made a part hereof.

At this time City Administrator, George Volker, made a recommendation that the proposed revised amendments requested by P.G.&E. be accepted except that he recommended that the word "shall" be changed to "may" in Section 10-4-9(B).

At this meeting Commissioner Palmer suggested that perhaps the provision on Page 15, item (D) 4, pertaining to solid fence should be eliminated. Mr. Leo Albusche, being present in the audience, indicated a desire to delete the provision with respect to solid fence.

Commission that a communication had been received from all of the property owners in that portion of the City of Placerville designated as that portion of the Southwest one-quarter of Section 5,

Township 10 North, Range 11 East, M.D.B.&M, more particularly described as the North one-half of the Southwest one-quarter of the Southwest one-quarter of the Southwest one-quarter of the Northwest one-quarter of the Southwest one-quarter of section 5; and the Northeast one-quarter of the Southwest one-quarter of Section 5. This communication requested that the land above described be changed from RE to RIA. One of the property owners, namely Mr. Forest Van Vleck, appeared before the Planning Commission and made an oral request that the property above described be changed from RE to RIA.

Mr. Lee Sicard of the Pacific Telephone & Telegraph Company also appeared before the Planning Commission at the meeting held on October 29, 1963 and questioned the use of "trunk telephone lines" over transmission line definition.

Also appearing at the October 29th meeting was Mr. Dietz of the Western Oil and Gas Company to reiterate the requests made previously at the meeting of October 15th.

Also appearing at the October 29th meeting and reiterating his request made at the meeting of October 8th was Mr. Strathouse of P.G.&E.

Mr. Leo Albusche appeared before the Planning Commission at the meeting of October 29th and requested that there be a proposed change in approximately 40 to 50 acres owned by himself

immediately west of the Sierra Golf and Country Club Estates from RE to RLA. There being no others desiring to be heard, the public hearing was closed. The above Summary of Hearings is hereby adopted and approved by the Planning Commission on the 4th day of November, 1963 by the following vote: AYES: Gripper, Henningsen, Sanderson, Thomas, Vanderbundt, Wentworth and Palmer NOES: None ABSENT: None

... 4 ...

CITY OF PLACERVILLE

PROPOSED ZONING ORDINANCE

October 8, 1963 LJS

SUGGESTED AMENDMENTS

Amend Section 10-3-5 (A) Page 10 by adding at the end the following:

"Requirements that the applicant for a Use Permit or Variance be the owner of the property or written authorization be obtained from the owner shall not apply to public utility companies or other agencies with powers of eminent domain".

Amend section 10-4-4(A) Page 14 by adding at the end of the first sentence:

"Where such uses are unmanned, no space need be provided".

Amend Section 10-4-4(14) Page 16 by substituting "two in lieu of "one" in the second line.

Amend Section 10-4-8 (D) Page 19 by rewriting the entire sentence as follows:

"No overhead public utility transmission line shall be constructed so as to extend into the designated plane surfaces established by this section".

Amend Section 10-4-9(B) Page 19 by rewriting the entire paragraph as follows:

"Overhead or underground public utility distribution or transmission lines, poles, or towers may be located in all zones without limitation as to height and without the necessity of first obtaining a Use Permit, except as provided in Section 10-4-8; provided, however, submitted to the Planning Commission for recommendation prior to acquisition of rights of way therefor".

CITY OF PLACERVILLE

PROPOSED ZONING ORDINANCE

October 25, 1963

SUGGESTED AMENDMENTS

Amend Section 10-3-5 (A) Page 10 by adding at the end the following:

"Requirements that the applicant for a Use Permit or Variance be the owner of the property or written authorization be obtained from the owner shall not apply to public utility companies or other agencies with powers of eminent domain".

Amend Section 10-4-4(A) Page 14 by adding at the end of the first sentence:

"Where such uses are unmanned, no space need be provided".

Amend Section 10-4-8 (D) Page 19 by rewriting the entire sentence as follows:

"No overhead public utility transmission line shall be constructed so as to extend into the designated plane surfaces established by this section".

Amend Section 10-4-9(B) Page 19 by rewriting the entire paragraph as follows:

"Overhead or underground public utility distribution or transmission lines, poles, or towers shall be allowed in all zones without limitation as to height and without the necessity of first obtaining a Use Permit, except as provided in Section 10-4-8; provided, however, that the routes of all proposed transmission lines shall be approved by the Planning Commission prior to acquisition of rights of way therefor".

REPORT OF FINDINGS

The Planning Commission of the City of Placerville, having prepared a proposed zoning ordinance for the City of Placerville, and having held public hearings, finds as follows:

- l. That a public hearing was held on October 8, 1963 at which time members of the public were heard by the Planning Commission and the hearing was continued until October 15, 1963 for the purpose of hearing other members of the public, at which time additional members of the public were heard by the Planning Commission and a further continuance was had until October 29, 1963, at which time still other members of the public were heard by the Planning Commission with respect to the proposed zoning ordinance.
- 2. That proper and sufficient legal notice was given with respect to the public hearings.
- 3. That all of those present were given an opportunity to be heard and were fully heard by the Planning Commission of the City of Placerville.
- 4. That the Planning Commission fully considered all of the matters presented and brought forth at the public hearings.
- 5. That the proposed zoning ordinance should be amended as requested by Pacific Gas and Electric so that the following sections should read as follows:

Section 10-3-5(A) Page 10 (add at the end)

"Requirements that the applicant for a Use Permit or Variance be the owner of the property or written authorization be obtained from the owner shall not apply to public utility companies or other agencies with powers of eminent domain".

Section 10-4-4(A) Page 14 (add at end of first sentence)

"Where such uses are unmanned, no space need be provided".

Section 10-4-8(D) Page 19 (rewrite entire sentence)

"No overhead public utility transmission line shall be constructed so as to extend into the

EMMETT J. FOLEY
ATTORNEY AT LAW
263 MAIN STREET
P. O. BOX 936
PLAGERVILLE, CALIF.

designated plane surfaces established by this section".

Section 10-4-9(B) Page 19 (rewrite entire paragraph)

"Overhead or underground public utility distribution or transmission lines, poles, or towers may be allowed in all zones without limitation as to height and without the necessity of first obtaining a Use Permit, except as provided in Section 10-4-8; provided, however, that the routes of all proposed transmission lines shall be approved by the Planning Commission prior to acquisition of rights of way therefor".

6. That the proposed zoning ordinance should be amended so that the definition of automotive service station be changed to read as follows:

"Automotive service station is a retail place of business engaged primarily in the sale of motor fuels but also in supplying goods and services generally required in the operation and maintenance of automotive vehicles and the fulfilling of motorist needs. These may include sale of petroleum products; sale and servicing of tires, batteries, automotive accessories and replacement items; washing and lubrication services; the performance of minor automotive maintenance and repair; and the supplying of other incidental customer services and products. Major automotive repairs, painting and body and fender work are excluded except where such uses are otherwise permitted".

- 7. That the proposed zoning ordinance be amended so as to change the zoning of Lot 15, Block 6 on the south side of Broadway from RE to C zone.
- 8. That the proposed zoning ordinance be amended so as to change the zoning of the Art Davies property on lower Main Street from RE to RM zone.
- 9. That the proposed zoning ordinance be amended so as to change the zoning of property on both sides of lower Main Street to the city limits from CG to C zone.
- 10. That the proposed zoning ordinance be amended so as to delete from the said proposed zoning ordinance Item 10-4-4 (D)4, page 15.
 - 11. That the proposed zoning ordinance be amended so

EMMETT J. FOLEY
ATTORNEY AT LAW
263 MAIN STREET
P. D. BOX 936
PLÄGERVILLE, CALIF.

- 12. That all of the proposed amendments to the proposed zoning ordinance are desirable and are in harmony with good zoning practices and will tend to facilitate the orderly development of the City of Placerville and are for the best interest of the City of Placerville and for the general public health, safety, and welfare.
- 13. The Planning Commission rejected the proposed change to allow service stations by right rather than by use permit in the RT and C zones.
- 14. The Planning Commission rejected any proposed changes in any minimum dwelling unit area as was set forth in the proposed zoning ordinance.
- 15. The Planning Commission rejected any proposed amendments with respect to minimum size of signs.
- l6. The Planning Commission rejected any proposed amendments with respect to the change of zones of the property west of the Sierra Golf and Country Club Estates from RE to RIA.
- 17. The proposals rejected by the Planning Commission as above set forth were fully considered by the Planning Commission and were rejected as not being desirable and as not being likely to facilitate the orderly development of the City of Placerville.
- the Planning Commission together with the suggested amendments to the proposed zoning ordinance as herein set forth and approved by the Planning Commission will, if adopted by the City Council of the City of Placerville, be beneficial to the City of Placerville and to the citizens of the City of Placerville and will tend to bring forth an orderly development of the City of Placerville and will

make possible the best use of the land within the City of Placerville, all of which will be for the best interest of the public health, safety, and welfare.

19. That the proposed zoning ordinance be amended so that the portion of the Southeast $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 5, Township 10, North, Range ll East, M. D. B. & M., more particularly described as : the North $\frac{1}{2}$ of the Southwest $\frac{1}{4}$ of the Southwest $\frac{1}{4}$ of section 5; the East $\frac{1}{2}$ of the Northwest $\frac{1}{4}$ of the Southwest $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 5; and the Northeast $\frac{1}{4}$ of the Southwest $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 5, be changed from RE to R-1-A. That this amendment is desirable for the reasons set forth in paragraph twelve above.

Respectfully submitted this 4th Day of November, 1963,

Chairman - Planning Commission

EXHIBIT "B"

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE PROPOSED ZONING ORDINANCE

1. The proposed zoning ordinance should be amended as requested by Pacific Gas and Electric so that the following sections should read as follows:

Section 10-3-5(A) Page 10 (add at the end)

"Requirements that the applicant for a Use Permit or Variance be the owner of the property or written authorization be obtained from the owner shall not apply to public utility companies or other agencies with powers of eminent domain".

Section 10-4-4(A) Page 14 (add at end of first sentence)

"Where such uses are unmanned, no space need be provided".

Section 10-4-8(D) Page 19 (rewrite entire sentence)

"No overhead public utility transmission line shall be constructed so as to extend into the designated plane surfaces established by this section".

Section 10-4-9(B) Page 19 (rewrite entire paragraph)

"Overhead or underground public utility distribution or transmission lines, poles, or towers may be allowed in all zones without limitation as to height and without the necessity of first obtaining a Use Permit, except as provided in Section 10-4-8; provided, however, that the routes of all proposed transmission lines shall be approved by the Planning Commission prior to acquisition of rights of way therefor".

2. The proposed zoning ordinance should be amended so that the definition of automotive service station shall read as follows:

"Automotive service station is a retail place of business engaged primarily in the sale of motor fuels but also in supplying goods and services generally required in the operation and maintenance of automotive vehicles and the fulfilling of motorist needs. These may include sale of petroleum products; sale and servicing of tires, batteries, automotive accessories and replacement items; washing and lubrication services; the performance of minor automotive maintenance and repair; and the supplying of other incidental customer services and products.

EMMETT J. FOLEY
ATTORNEY AT LAW
263 MAIN STREET
P. O. BOX 936
PLACERVILLE, CALIF.

Major automotive repairs, painting and body and fender work are excluded except where such uses are otherwise permitted."

- 3. The proposed zoning ordinance should be amended so as to change the zoning of Lot 15, Block 6 on the south side of Broadway from RE to C Zone.
- 4. The proposed zoning ordinance should be amended so as to change the zoning of the Art Davies property on Lower Main Street from RE to RM zone.
- 5. The proposed zoning ordinance should be amended so as to change the zoning of property on both sides of Lower Main Street to the city limits from CG to C Zone.
- 6. The proposed zoning ordinance should be amended so as to delete Item 4D, Page 15.
- 7. The proposed zoning ordinance should be amended so that the phrase "trunk telephone line" as used under the definition of transmission line be change to "toll telephone line."
- 8. That the proposed zoning ordinance be amended so that the portion of the Southeast ½ of Section 5, Township 10, North, Range 11 East, M. D. B. & M., more particularly described as: the North ½ of the Southwest ½ of the Southwest ½ of Section 5; the East ½ of the Northwest ¼ of the Southwest ½ of Section 5; and the Northeast ½ of the Southwest ¼ of Section 5, be changed from RE to R-1-A.